

Main Category	Sub-categories	Below Expectations	Meeting Expectations	Above Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
Adherence to Agile Ceremonies		No demonstrated awareness of agile ceremonies	Organised into sprints with documentation of each sprint and evidence of sprint planning	Organised into sprints, and conducting sprint retrospectives and consultation with clients to prioritise goals. Ceremonies result in clear outcomes, and contributions to project progress.	Agile ceremonies are highly effective, with full engagement from the team, leading to continuous improvement and significant contributions to the project's success.
Process	Role Assignments	Roles are unclear or unassigned, with overlapping responsibilities or missing assignments; team members are unsure of their duties.	Roles are clearly assigned to all team members. Key responsibilities are covered with some evidence of relevant activity within roles	Roles are well-defined with clear responsibilities. Individuals understand their duties and are actively delivering on the requirements of their role.	Team members excel in their roles, demonstrating leadership, initiative and co-operation across roles.
	Group decision making	Team has no clear decision making process and decisions are made by individuals	Team has an informal decision making process but it is not clear and/or it focuses on individuals	The team uses a structured and inclusive decision-making process, valuing input from all members.	Excellent decision-making process, fostering innovation and consensus.
	Meetings	Teams have had very few meetings, poor documentation and minimal outcomes.	Meetings are scheduled regularly with most members attending; basic agenda and outcomes are achieved.	Meetings are well-organized with clear agendas, good attendance, and clear, productive outcomes.	Meetings are highly effective, with excellent preparation, active participation, clear action items, and significant progress.
	Internal communication	Team members work mainly independently with little or no communication among team members.	Team members work together a little, and there is some communication among team members.	Team members work together, share resources and communicate often. All members are well-informed and issues are promptly addressed.	Team members work together extensively, share resources and keep each other updated on progress. Exemplary communication with a high degree of transparency and collaboration, ensuring seamless teamwork.
	Communication with the client	Communication with the client is infrequent, unclear, or does not address client needs.	Basic, regular communication with the client; most client needs are addressed.	Team have been working together with the client (sharing resources and communicating often)	Communication with the client is outstanding, fostering a strong relationship and exceeding client expectations in addressing needs. Clear understanding of the client's technical level facilitating unimpeded communication.
Artefacts	Requirements	Requirements are incomplete, unclear, or not aligned with client needs.	Team has user stories and requirements artefacts as appropriate, but they may need refinement to be fully consistent and address the client's needs.	Clear, well-defined requirements aligned with the client's needs. Team has had significant input in scoping the requirements, e.g. by adding to the existing requirements, or developing a motivational model and user stories for the client.	Requirements are meticulously detailed, perfectly aligned with client needs, and anticipate potential challenges.
	Frontend Design	Frontend design is incomplete, inconsistent, or lacks user-friendly features; poor alignment with requirements.	Frontend design meets basic requirements, with functional and user-friendly features.	Frontend design is polished, user-friendly, and aesthetically pleasing; well-aligned with requirements.	Frontend design is innovative, highly user-centric, and aesthetically outstanding; exceeds all requirements.
	Architectural Design	Architectural design is incomplete, poorly documented, or does not support project scalability and maintainability.	Architectural design meets basic requirements, with adequate support for scalability and maintainability.	Architectural design is well-structured, documented, and supports scalability, maintainability, and project goals.	Architectural design is exceptional, with advanced features that enhance scalability, maintainability, and future growth.
	Coding	Coding is ad hoc and no standards are enforced.	Coding standards are loosely defined but not rigorously followed.	Coding standards are defined and are sometimes enforced.	Coding standards are defined and rigorously enforced.
	Testing	No test plan exists. Testing, if any, has been ad hoc.	There is a test plan but it not comprehensive, e.g. lacks some user stories or requirements.	There is a test plan that covers most user stories and requirements. Code is tested regularly and thoroughly.	Test plan is meticulous and rigorously enforced, with comprehensive coverage and proactive issue resolution
	Deployment	Deployment is incomplete or non-existent; lacks documentation	Have attempted to deploy the application with partial success	Deployment is smooth, well-documented, and largely issue-free with successful with CI/CD set up	Deployment is seamless, with excellent documentation and proactive resolution of potential issues; zero post-deployment issues. Active use of CI/CD pipelines and discussion with the client to ensure suitability.
	Code repository	Repository is disorganized, with poor version control and inconsistent commits.	Repository is functional with regular commits and basic organization.	Repository is well-organized, with consistent commits, clear version control, and proper branching.	Repository management is exemplary, with excellent organization, consistent use of version control, detailed commit messages, and efficient branching strategies.
Maintenance		No updates of any artefacts	Some changes of artefacts but quality uneven	Systematic updating of artefacts in response to client and other feedback	Excellent maintenance of artefacts
Response to feedback		Feedback from the supervisor is ignored or poorly addressed; minimal changes are made	Some response to feedback from supervisors	Feedback from supervisors largely followed	Feedback is taken seriously, with thoughtful consideration and substantial improvements made to the project.
Special Considerations		Did not handle special circumstances well	Handled special circumstances reasonably	Handled special circumstances well	Handled special circumstances extremely well

Overall Performance Descriptors	
>= 13.5 marks	The project demonstrates an exceptional level of achievement in all areas. The work is innovative, thoroughly executed, and easily exceeds all expectations. The team has shown outstanding initiative, collaboration, and attention to detail. The final deliverable is of professional quality, comparable to what would be produced by a highly skilled team.
12.5 - 13.5 marks	The project is of extremely high quality, with all aspects executed very well. The team has shown strong collaboration and effective problem-solving skills. Any noted improvements are very minor and overall the project exceeds the expectations. The product is polished and well-considered.
11.5 - 12.5 marks	The project is completed to a very high standard, with most areas showing well above-average quality. The team has successfully met all expectations and has
10.5 - 11.5 marks	The project meets or exceeds expectations across most areas. The work is solid, with clear evidence of planning and execution. There may be some areas that need improvement, but the project is generally well-done and
9.5 - 10.5 marks	The project is on track and delivers on the key requirements. While there are strengths, the work may lack depth, creativity, or thoroughness in some areas. There may be missed opportunities for further development or enhancement.
8.5 - 9.5 marks	The project is on track but with some gaps or weaknesses. The team may have struggled with some aspects, leading to a project that meets the basic requirements but lacks polish or full coherence.
7.5 - 8.5 marks	The project has substantial deficiencies in key areas. The team has struggled to meet the requirements, resulting in a project that is incomplete, poorly executed, or significantly flawed. Improvements are needed to elevate the work.
< 7.5 marks	The project fails to meet the minimum criteria. It is incomplete, poorly executed, or shows a lack of understanding of the core requirements. The team has